

VAST SHOW OF FRENCH ART.

PICTURES BY FAMOUS PAINTERS AT THE PARIS EXPOSITION.

The "Eleven Years" exhibition and the "Tendances" show—the Ephemeral Fashions in Art—Novel Forms of Expression—Art for the Future—The "Paris Exposition"—Some of the Famous French Painters.

PARIS, June 29.—Two Americans meet in one of the galleries of the French section, and a conversation such as the following generally takes place:

"What a big exhibition the French is!"

"Yes, I've been all through it, it's vast."

"For there are more than 80 and 80 (naming a picture) by So and So."

"Oh, I don't think so."

Then, as the first speaker says it should by all means be, the two start off for another room, perhaps some distance away.

The conversation goes on, and as they pass through various galleries, they exclaim:

"Why, I must have missed this one, I haven't been here!"

"This gallery is one I must have missed somehow, and this one too," and so on.

Such experiences happen every day, and not half the visitors who have seen the French section have seen all that it has to offer.

There are many galleries, rooms after room on the upper floor, long suites of rooms parallel to each other, others turning off to the right or left or both ways from a given point, and on the ground floor it is the same. Rotundas filled with sculpture break the monotony, and the balcony-galleries are crowded with vases, engravings, architectural exhibits, and water colors.

In little recesses here and there come upon cases of miniatures, very good miniatures, and beautiful medals. On both floors, occupying the entire rear part of the Grand Palais, is the Centennial Exhibition of French Art, completed in 1889.

It is a vast collection of French art, and recently two courts with mosaic floors have been opened which contain more sculpture. The French show, in a word, is vast.

What might have been left out to make it possible to see their exhibition with less weariness, some traveling for the visitor it would be hard to say. There are many artists here who have achieved celebrity and official honors these alone make a formidable list.

Their work, good or bad, cannot be left out on such an occasion as the present. One is as much interested in seeing that a man whose work has been famous is going to pieces as in noting that another is just beginning to flourish.

We have had some art exhibitions in New York that required a good deal of intelligent work to get up, but our efforts there, as well as at Chicago in 1893, seem slight in comparison with what has been accomplished here by the French.

It will be interesting to note that one who should write a book on the subject, that one who should make some general reflections on the French fine arts show as a whole and to pick out in the great mass of work shown some of the things that for one reason or another are most notable.

The eleven years' exhibition shows a man with an artistic eye, and as a part of the "movement" in the modern world, but at the same time the great majority of the artists whose reputation entitles them to consideration are found to be working on the sound lines that have heretofore and always will hereafter make their art last beyond their own lifetime.

There are dark rooms than simply low-toned pictures, but there are pictures painted with *beaucoup*, or hatching in paint as one cross hatches in pen drawing, and pictures purposely painted with dry brush, or a method by which atmospheric envelope is sedulously avoided. All these have their moment of fashion, and as a part of the "movement" in the modern world, but they are placed in this great comprehensive collection. Fortunately, however, for French art, as in the case of our own, sanity far outweighs eccentricity. Fortunately, drawing is still taught and well taught at the Ecole des Beaux Arts and other good schools and no small number of men who are called masters of the modern school are still painting pictures as steadily to their principles as they did ten, or twenty, or thirty years ago.

Younger men destined to be their successors are plentiful, who are apparently as firm and as self-reliant as they, as uncompromising in their adherence to sound processes and as rational in their vision.

Nine times out of ten it will be found that the painter whose work shows eccentricity (for nearly everything "new" is in reality eccentric) is one who found the right way to great achievement too difficult. A new fashion too often conveniently cloaks inability to think with precision, and a very real talent, perseverance and a very well balanced brain. It is easy to slip over the edge of the things that are essential in a good work of art because one cannot give them, and to emphasize other factors that one can manage.

Thus one picture is praised for its colorfulness though it may be a sad piece of drawing; another for its sentimentality though it is not drawing as they might be, and a third is fine because though all academic qualities are absent, it is said to be artistic. Why, how, and what the state of mind is that is necessary to appreciate them, one never can learn from their partisans. Let us by all means guard against this. Let us be as well wrenched as good when it is good, though it may be quite unsympathetic to our own tastes, and let us never be so narrow as to condemn through sheer prejudice; but, on the other hand, let us laugh at mere pose, as it deserves to be laughed at and not lose sight of the fact that these French artists are doing something after all that they come and go, flourish for a time and then die accompanied by no eulogies, and, remembering that as they have come and gone so they will continue to come and go, be prepared for the advent of the next queer tendency. Let us not forget also that while such innovators as Delacroix and Gericault, and even the Impressionists, had their place, they had something new to say in their art, not at variance with, but in accord with right principles. All of them protested at different periods against artificiality and clung to nature as their guide with persistent tenacity.

While we are about it, let us say as well that some of the most prominent of the exhibitors in the French section whose methods show odd if not irrational tendencies. We may begin with a painter, for for some reason or other, seems to occupy quite an elevated rank in the opinion of a good many of his contemporaries. This is Mr. Eugène Carrière, who has seven or eight large canvases in the show, in all of which the figures are enveloped in yellow-green fog. There is no color and there is no completeness of construction. What is given, and that is but little, seems to be just in observation, but all the rest is vaporous guesswork. His "Christ on the Cross" (176) is one of the pictures that show his curious methods in their most pronounced form of expression and the "Théâtre Populaire" (375) is nearly as uncomprehensible. Here heads and shoulders appear as if swimming before themselves in a great bath of mist. In the Centennial Exhibition one of his pictures, "Portrait of M. Deville," a sculptor in his studio, shows the same parti-pris, but it is kept within bounds to a certain extent and the picture is not without suggestive charm.

Mr. Aman-Jean, whose name is quite celebrated, shows a group of six pictures, each with figures, all of which are painted in a sort of half-tone, none is firmly or decisively modeled, and all lack relief. The draperies on some of the life-sized figures are disposed in flowing curves that are not without grace of line, but all these

performances smack of affected simplicity, a very different thing from naive simplicity. Here is Mr. Blanche, who in several portraits shows that instead of relying on nature and his own powers, he calls in the aid of paint in the manner of the early English school. "The Painter Thaw and his Children" (105), the largest canvas in the group is the least successful. The "Portrait of Mme J. Bize" (100) is a pretty picture and the best. Mr. Pierre Laugier exhibits a collection of landscapes with incident figures, in which, which he seems purposely to avoid, but in one, "Le Buisson" (108), depicting a great valley with leafless trees, a convent in the distance and a monk looking thither a wounded man who rides on a donkey, he relies more on accepted methods and gives us a picture that is quite sane in its point of view and quite impressive in its general aspect.

Mr. Ménard in half a dozen landscapes, however, leaves almost all of his eccentric contemporaries far behind in the nonchalant style in which he belies nature, and reaches the height of absurdity in "Storm Over the Forest" (1127) and "The Forest" (1128).

Others have been moved to adopt some comparatively novel form of expression, as Mr. Roger, who exhibited one picture, a triptych with the title "The Kiss" (182), a concept from Theodore de Banville decoratively treated. The flowing forms of the draperies make one think for a moment of Aubrey Beardsley, but not much for long, for they are seen to be unexaggerated. "The Kiss" is charming in style and very attractive in its pale-pinkish blue color. Mr. Picard is another artist who is seen at times to be preoccupied with the sentiment of his subject while he neglects some of the essentials of his art; but in "Femme qui passe" (1513), "Dream" (1512) and "At the Seashore" (1511), he shows a more artistic and a more harmonious use of color and produces more charming effects.

In a portrait of Mr. Dagnan-Bouveret (1507), on the contrary, he is hard and uncompromising to a degree, but the head is well drawn. Mr. Henri Martin, now a notable personage in the art world, in a very large canvas, "Church of China" (1521), is in a formal composition showing all the world following a young woman who for each person in the crowd symbolizes his or her ambition, paints in a rather dry manner, his purpose being apparently to accept accepted theories as to development, draws well, and in this picture obtains an excellent effect of color, and of red and black are really fine in color, and the performance, as a whole, is serious. Some very queer effects of concentrated light on nude figures are exhibited by Mr. Louvat. "Woman Looking in a Mirror" (1269), for example, shows a wall in white paneled wood with a Chinese woman looking in a mirror, a brilliant light contrasted with dark shadows all about her. These pictures are by no means Rembrandtesque, for they do not possess much atmospheric quality and they are scarcely colored, the scheme of tints consisting almost wholly of white, and here and there a touch of red.

It is with pain that we find here and there such examples of complete collapse as appear in the exhibition made by Mr. Courtois. "Portrait of Madame Gautreau" (516) is here, charming in its silvery color and delicate drawing, to show the sort of work he was capable of some years ago, and his "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

Mr. Courtois' "Portrait of Madame X" (520) is positively a masterpiece of the art of painting with nude figures. "Love at the Feast" (515) and in a nude figure, "Young Girl at the Spring" (516), we come face to face with a lamentable falling off. The color is so hot and foxy that some of the old Dusseldorf painters in the A. T. Stewart collection would have been positively shocked by it.

tor-painter, Mr. Paul Dubois, all of which exhibit his fine draughtsmanship, solid modeling and sober color qualities. "Portrait of Mme. R. G." (678), a half length of a young woman in a red dress, is a fine study. "Portrait of Mme. P. L. A." (679), and "Mme. A. S." (678), a young girl in white, are the pick of the collection.

Eight landscapes of noble aspect, supremely fine in composition, beautifully drawn and generally cool in color, worthily represent the master's landscapes. Mr. Henner has a group of pictures, including a dead Christ (1005) and his well-known, beautiful "Ecce Homo" (1006), a picture painted at least twenty years ago and therefore hardly entitled to figure in the eleven years' exhibition; but there are other instances of such irregularity and the line has not been strictly drawn in all cases.

Seven canvases, including three portraits, compose the exhibition of Mr. Jean Paul Laurens, showing his usual robust facture and severity of style. "Jean Chrysostome" (1125) is one of his characteristic historical compositions and a portrait of the saint, his hands crossed, is a fine study. "The Death of St. John" (1127) and "St. John" (1127) are finely drawn and distinguished in style.

Mr. Lefebvre's exhibition includes a composition of immense size, depicting Lady Godiva riding through the streets of Coventry (1165), which is rather cold in color, and several portraits, but none of these last are particularly noteworthy. Mr. Lefebvre is a competent and convincing enough, but there is a notable lack of spontaneity and the fact is generally thin and somewhat labored. Mr. Volon, with eight pictures, shows his usual remarkable skill in painting still life, as in "The Pumpkin" (1027) and "The Glass" (1000), while his landscapes (1008 and 1009) are of a fine quality of handling and unity of effect, but in a small figure, "Un Buvard" (1008), there is a lamentable lack of form and a head that is painted without the least apparent thought of construction or modeling. It is a notable instance of the French school's lack of spontaneity.

This list includes also all the veterans of French art except Mr. Tony Robert-Fleury, whose exhibition is neither strong nor in any way remarkable. Mr. Guillemin, whose landscapes are very good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.

Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be, Mr. Hébert, who shows a group of his landscapes, and Mr. Lefebvre, whose work is good, but not as good as they used to be.